



Constraints on the population of off-axis short gamma-ray bursts

Brendan O'Connor

McWilliams Center for Cosmology and Astrophysics Carnegie Mellon University

Paz Beniamini (Open University) and Ramandeep Gill (UNAM)

Short Gamma-ray Bursts (sGRBs)





- Prompt gamma-ray duration of < 2 s
- Neutron star mergers are confirmed as a progenitor pathway (GW170817)

- Afterglow emission from interaction of relativistic jet with environment
- Jet is initially coasting and decelerates when enough material piles up

Abbott et al. 2017

GRB Jet Structure

- GRB jet's have a structure in both energy and Lorentz factor
- The structure and viewing angle impacts the observed lightcurve
- We consider both **tophat** jets and **power-law** structured jets



Sample Selection



- Sample of 58 short GRBs detected by the Swift Observatory (30 with redshift)
- Use upper limit on peak time and lower limit on peak X-ray flux for each sGRB



See also O'Connor et al. 2020

O'Connor, Beniamini, Gill 2024

Tophat Jets • An off-axis jet has a later peak time • Constrain viewing angle for our sample based $E_{\gamma,0} = E_{\gamma,\text{obs}} \begin{cases} 1 & \theta_{\text{obs}} \leq \theta_{\text{c}}, \\ q^4 & \theta_{\text{c}} < \theta_{\text{obs}} \leq 2\theta_{\text{c}}, \\ q^6 (\theta_{\text{c}} \Gamma_0)^{-2} & 2\theta_{\text{c}} < \theta_{\text{obs}}, \end{cases}$ on the time of the first X-ray detection Tophat jet Max spreading No spreading Structured jet $E_{beam} < 10^{53}$ (b) 10^{55} Core energy $E_{\chi^{0}}$ (erg) 10^{54} 10^{53} 10^{52} 10^{51} 10^{1} 10⁰ $\Delta \theta^{8/3}$ $\mathbf{t}_{p}/\mathbf{t}_{j}$ 10^{-2} 10^{-3} 10⁵¹ $\Delta \theta = \theta_{\rm obs} - \theta_{\rm c}$ t_{dec}/ 10-3 10^{-2} 0.5 0.0 1.0 1.5 2.0 $\Delta \theta$ (rad) θ_{obs}/θ_c

e.g. Kasliwal et al. 2017, Ioka & Nakamura 2018

Chand et al. in prep.

 10^{-1}

 $\Delta \theta^2$

Constraints for tophat jets

- Test a range of afterglow parameters (e.g., density, Lorentz factor)
- If their jets are tophats short GRBs can **not be viewed far off-axis** $\theta_{obs}/\theta_c \leq 1.2$

Label	$ heta_{c}$ (rad)	Γ_0	n (cm^{-3})
Canonical	0.1	300	10 ⁻²
Conservative	0.05	100	10 ⁻¹

High density is more conservative

• Events with a jet break yield independent constraint (Rouco Escorial et al. 2022; purple region)

$$\theta_{\rm obs}/\theta_{\rm c} \lesssim 1 + (t_{\rm o}/t_{\rm j})^{3/8}$$



O'Connor, Beniamini, Gill 2024

Structured Jets



- Consider power-law structured jets based on numerical simulations (e.g., Lazzati et al. 2017, Nativi et al. 2021, Gottlieb et al. 2021)
- Deceleration of line-of-sight material dominates at early times



- Increasing viewing angle leads to later peak times at lower fluxes
- We apply observed limits to determine the max viewing angle
- Use analytical equations calibrated to numerical lightcurves (Gill et al. 2018, 2019)

Label	$a_{\rm kin}$	b
Canonical	3	4
Conservative	4	2

Structure from numerical simulations e.g. Lazzati et al. 2017, Gottlieb et al. 2021

See also Beniamini et al. 2020, 2022

Constraints for structured jets

- Either our sample is viewed within the core of their jets, or their Lorentz factors are very fast ($\Gamma_0 > 200 500$)
- Shallow Lorentz factor profiles are less constraining



Summary

See previous talk by Paz Beniamini!

- For **tophat** jets short GRBs must be viewed within $\theta_{obs}/\theta_c \leq 1.2$
- For **structured** jets short GRBs must be viewed close to the jet's core, or the initial Lorentz factor is very fast ($\Gamma_0 > 200 500$)

Cosmological GRBs are not viewed far off-axis





The Peculiar Einstein Probe Transient EP240408a

Carnegie Mellon

University

Brendan O'Connor

McWilliams Center for Cosmology and Astrophysics Carnegie Mellon University

Dheeraj Pasham (*MIT*), *Igor Andreoni* (*UNC*), Jeremy Hare (*GSFC*), *Paz Beniamini* (*Open University*), et al. (*Submitted to ApJL*)

EP240408a

Declination

-40



Profiles of the WXT and FXT

Credit: ESA



- Detected by EP/WXT as ~10 s trigger.
 - Very bright peak flux 4e-9 erg/cm²/s
- Zhang+2024 report persistent X-ray emission before and after trigger.
 - Unlikely to be a GRB based on this.
- Not detected ~13 days prior
 - No constraints in that window. •
- No redshift has been identified.

See Zhang, EP Team, et al. 2024

Prompt Gamma-ray Constraints

Gamma-ray limits thanks to Dmitry Svinkin & Jimmy DeLaunay

 No gamma-ray counterpart. Not detected by GECam-B, Konus-Wind, and Swift. Konus-Wind is the most sensitive limit, as out of BAT field of view.





Unlikely to be a gamma-ray burst (GRB), even if off-axis.

See O'Connor, Pasham, Andreoni, et al. 2024

Multi-wavelength Follow-up

- Gemini, VLA, ATCA yielded deep upper limits.
- Gemini uncovered a faint candidate host galaxy; no redshift has been acquired.



See O'Connor, Pasham, Andreoni, et al. 2024

X-ray Follow-up

- Swift provided localization. NICER monitoring revealed long-lived transient.
- X-ray lightcurve unlike other high energy transients (e.g., GRBs, TDEs).



Possible Interpretations

- A new class of X-ray transient?
- We exclude:
 - Low redshift transients (z < 0.5)
 - e.g., lack of low-z host or bright optical/radio emission
 - Galactic X-ray transients (e.g., CVs, HMXBs, LMXBs)
 - Fast Blue Optical Transients (FBOTs)
 - Fast X-ray Transients (FXTs)
- Unlike any known Gamma-ray burst



Rest frame time (d)

• e.g., lack of gamma-rays, plateau duration, persistent emission prior to trigger (Zhang+24)

- Closest to relativistic jetted Tidal Disruption Events (TDEs) at $z>1\,$

- Lack of optical/infrared may be intrinsic dust (no Galactic dust)
- Lack of luminous radio may be explained by delayed deceleration of the jet
- Likely a nearly on-axis jet with a narrow core, to explain the required Eddington ratios
- Potentially caused by disruption of a White Dwarf by an Intermediate Mass Black Hole
- Onset of disruption may have been up to 13 days prior to trigger.

• Future observations of similar transients are critical to determine its nature.

Thank you!

EP240408a NICER X-ray Spectra





- NICER spectra reveal broken powerlaw in some epochs.
- Spectral break at ~4 keV in the observer frame.
- Significant Hydrogen column density, implies optical extinction

See O'Connor, Pasham, Andreoni, et al. 2024

Evolution of Peak time and Peak Flux

 Peak time/peak flux as computed with *Afterglowpy* (Ryan+20) applying a Gaussian angular profile for both energy and Lorentz factor



See Kaur, O'Connor, Palmese, et al. 2024